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Definition of network convergence I: according to Google

Telco heads perspective: triple/quadruple play and voice/data (also Wikipedia)

IP heads perspective: convergence of distributed protocols

Telco vendors perspective: packet-optical convergence
Definition of network convergence II: according to our research community

- Convergence of access and metro networks
- Convergence of fixed and mobile networks
- Convergence of networking functionalities and services into Data centre (e.g., NFV)
What is convergence good for?

• Look back at all definitions:
  • It’s about making one network or system do multiple things...
  • ...without loss in performance!

• Save capital costs:
  • use less infrastructure (more efficiently)

• Save operational costs:
  • number of personnel with different skills,
  • training involved
  • cross-domain experts,...
Convergence example I: LR-PON

- Our previous work on spatial consolidation in EU FP7 DISCUS:
  - Extend optical access reach to reach core: reach up to >100Km
Convergence example I: LR-PON

Flat core becomes the cheaper option when traffic is above a given threshold

Study carried out for UK network, using 75 MC nodes

C. Raak et al., Hierarchical Versus Flat Optical Metro/Core Networks: A Systematic Cost and Migration Study, ONDM 2016
Convergence example II: Cloud-Central Office

- Getting SDN and NFV into the central office:
  - Software and programmability a main enabler of convergence
  - E.g., enables tighter orchestration of resources (see fixed/mobile)

- Driven my development, not by standard
- Being trialed by several operators world-wide
  - E.g., AT&T recently carried out trials on XGS-PON using OLT white boxes
Convergence example II: Cloud-CO (Mobile)

Source: http://opencord.org/
• Standardisation of the Cloud Central Office Concept (BroadBand Forum)
• Although it’s really when you see the design associated with a software implementation that this starts making more sense...

ONAP

OPNFV
Activities in network virtualisation

• Many activities progressing in parallel across different groups… here’s an overview

Source: Linux Foundation
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Use case I: Virtualisation as enabler for fixed mobile convergence

Our work at TCD on Variable-rate fronthaul

It applies to full C-RAN (i.e. most benefit if split 8 is chosen)

The idea is to change the cell wireless bandwidth depending on actual cell throughput:

- Allows reuse of wireless bandwidth across nearby cells
- Makes transport rate proportional to cell throughput
- Coordinates capacity across wireless, optical and cloud resources
Some experimental results

Time diagram of switching events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Wireless Bandwidth</th>
<th>PRB Number</th>
<th>Fronthaul Rate</th>
<th>Max Cell Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.4 MHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>61 Mbps</td>
<td>1.8 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 MHz</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>121 Mbps</td>
<td>4.584 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 MHz</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>182 Mbps</td>
<td>7.736 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 MHz</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>364 Mbps</td>
<td>15.264 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 MHz</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>485 Mbps</td>
<td>22.92 Mbps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 MHz</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>730 Mbps</td>
<td>30.576 Mbps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Pedro Alvarez, Frank Slyne, Christian Bluemm, Johann M. Marquez-Barja, Luiz A. DaSilva, Marco Ruffini, Experimental Demonstration of SDN-controlled Variable-rate Fronthaul for Converged LTE-over-PON. OFC 2018

Measured fronthaul vs. best effort traffic
Fixed-mobile convergence with variable rate fronthaul over PON

First we transmit a small amount of traffic
Use case II:
Virtualisation as enabler for true multi-tenancy

Our work at TCD on virtual DBA (vDBA)

e.g. OpenCORD architecture
vDBA – sharing engine details

Operated by VNO (software??)

Operated by InP (Hardware??)

Under discussion at BBF study group SD-402
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Network convergence for 5G

Should be consider different manifestations of the same trend / ecosystem
Functional and architectural convergence for 5G

• The physical access-metro architecture needs to support this cost-effectively
  • High capacity, dynamic demand, low latency, reliability, diversity of requirements

• For example, include dynamic/reconfigurable optics with local termination of signals:
  • Inter-ONU
  • OLT and computation at power split point
  • Optics across computation node boundaries

Source: modified from FP7 DISCUS project
Need for dynamic optical networking?

• This idea has been around for some time: although the speed of dynamic path creation is a subjective concept (a few hours.. a few days)

• What it can enable:
  • A converged SDN/Orchestration layer can provide capacity across highly dense 5G networks enabling statistical multiplexing of resources (provide wavelengths to cells dynamically)
  • Wavelengths could cross the cloud-CO / DC hard boundary and terminate in top of rack switches or even servers (bypass congestion, minimize latency)

• Some issues:
  • Power excursions still exist and can impair existing channels, besides making success of new channels uncertain
  • Models for the optical system can be further improved (e.g., use of machine learning)
Sample study

• Mininet simulation of SDN-controlled ROADM network
  • Physical layer includes OSNR, non-ideal EDFA gain flatness, SRS, ...
  • Amplifier behavior not fully known: gain flatness varies depending on
    • Amplifier model
    • Individual device
    • External conditions
    • ...  
    
• Use of same margin across all channels is typical
  \[ \text{OSNR}_{\text{est}} + \text{Margin} > \text{OSNR}_{\text{th}} \] (QPSK, 8QAM, 16QAM)
Sample study

Estimation assumes flat amplifier gain

OSNR_{est} + Margin > OSNR_{th}

X axis: how conservative are the margins

More conservative

More aggressive
Deep Learning Shown Effective for Predicting Optical Signal Powers

Deep learning (left) shown to accurately predict optical signal power which is main determinant of signal quality, based on the channel configuration alone.

Deep Neural Network Machine Learning for QoT based Wavelength Assignment

- Improve Quality of Transmission (QoT) estimation and wavelength assignment
- Transfer learning for real time prediction

W. Mo, et. al. ANN-Based Transfer Learning for QoT Prediction in Real-Time Mixed Line-Rate Systems. Paper W4F.3, OFC 2018
Open Disaggregated Transport Network

Pros:
- Open market of component from multiple vendors brings cost down
- No vendor lock-down, faster network upgrades
- Possibility of full integration with other control layers to achieve dynamic, fast, end-to-end optical re-configurability.

Challenges:
- Building an end-to-end analog system
  - How to do end-to-end system optimization with components whose behavior is not well known?
  - Avoid use of large margins
- Could this hinder research investment from transponder manufacturers?

Source: https://www.opennetworking.org
Conclusions: The fully converged view

- As central offices turn into Cloud CO, everything (network/computation node) will look more like a DC:
  - Core of servers/switches: white boxes has brought much innovation already
  - SDN/NFV/Orchestration control and management: more to come, on per-flow availability/reliability
  - Optical switching technology: much more to come
    - starting from highly reconfigurable ROADM/metro transmission ...
    - ...to progressive integration with electronic switching fabric
  - Edge of few types of (optical) transmission technology: more to come in photonic integration technology